

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/445,375	Applicant(s) KINGSMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner J. Eric Angell	Art Unit 1635	

All Participants:

(1) J. Eric Angell.

(2) Kawai Lau.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Jill Martin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 February 2003

Time: pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

87, 99, 100, 114, 116, and new claim 119.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated claim amendments which would make the claims allowable. Applicants also suggested the addition of new claim 119, agreed to the proposed amendments, and authorized that the proposed claim amendments be entered by Examiner's amendment.



DAVE T. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER